OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE and AMENDMENT

Examiner Lars A. Olsen Group Art Unit: 3617 Confirmation No.: 5151

Remarks

The numbered paragraphs of the office action are responded to through the corresponding numbered paragraphs below. The applicant has addressed each issue in turn and, for clarity, has provided a heading for each issue.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. The Examiner indicated that the request for continued examination including the fee was filed in this application and that the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn. The applicant appreciates the Examiner's withdrawal of the finality of the previous action and believes that no specific response to this paragraph is required.
- The Examiner indicated that an amendment was received from the applicant on May 27, 2003. The applicant believes that no specific response to this paragraph is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The Examiner provided the quotation of "35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action." The applicant believes that no response is required for this paragraph.
- 4. The Examiner rejected claims 1–10 under "35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlisle (US 5,664,975) in view of Beck (US 5,222,802)." The applicant has requested that claims 1 and 7, on which claims 2–6 and 8–10 depend respectively, be amended to more clearly point out that applicant's invention includes a

Attorney Docket No. 4336 P

Examiner Lars A. Olsen Group Art Unit: 3617

Confirmation No.: 5151

hard circularly shaped insert panel inserted adjacent to the first side of the cover so that

the insert panel forces the first side of the cover to be flat and to define a circularly

shaped first side. The applicant believes that these claims as currently pending are

neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited references, either alone or in combination.

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Response to Arguments

5. The Examiner indicated that the "applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-

10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection." The

applicant appreciates the Examiner's consideration and respectfully requests further

consideration and allowance of this application.

Conclusion

6. The Examiner indicated that certain "prior art made of record and not relied

upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure." The applicant appreciates

the Examiner's search and requests that this art be listed among the cited references in

this application.

7. The Examiner provided information concerning communication on this case.

The applicant appreciates the Examiner's willingness to communicate and assist in this

case.

5

Express Mailing Label: ER632010898US

Attorney Docket No. 4336 P

OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE and AMENDMENT

Examiner Lars A. Olsen Group Art Unit: 3617

Confirmation No.: 5151

The applicant has requested that claim 1 (on which claims 2-6 depends) and

claim 7 (on which claims 8-10 depends) be amended as previously described. In view of

the foregoing, and in summary, the applicant believes that all issues and points of the

Examiner's Office Action have been addressed and that the newly amended claims and

all claims dependent on these claims are patentable over the prior art. Reconsideration

and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted this <u>23rd</u> day of <u>December</u>, 2003.

Lloyd W. Sadler, Reg. No. 40,154

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER

201 South Main Street, Suite 1800

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Telephone: (801) 532-1234 Facsimile: (801) 536-6111